

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/986,538	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OSUMI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Susan T. Tran	<b>Art Unit</b> 1615	

**All Participants:**

(1) Susan T. Tran.

(2) J. Derek Mason.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 May 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
*of record*


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place this application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to 1) incorporate Markush group of polymer A and B from claims 4 and 5 into claim 1; 2) amend the transitional phrase "comprising" to "consisting essentially of"; 3) cancel claims 4 and 5; and 4) correct typographical error in the specification at page 4, line 9. Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment. .